Time-dependent q-deformed bi-coherent states for generalized uncertainty relations

Laure Gouba
The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP),
Strada Costiera 11, I-34151 Trieste Italy.
Email: lgouba@ictp.it

We consider the time-dependent bi-coherent states that are essentially the Gazeau-Klauder coherent states for the two dimensional noncommutative harmonic oscillator. Starting from some q-deformations of the oscillator algebra for which the entire deformed Fock space can be constructed explicitly, we define the q-deformed bi-coherent states. We verify the generalized Heisenberg's uncertainty relations projected onto these states. For the initial value in time the states are shown to satisfy a generalized version of Heisenberg's uncertainty relations. For the initial value in time and for the parameter of noncommutativity $\theta = 0$, the inequalities are saturated for the simultaneous measurement of the position-momentum observables. When the time evolves the uncertainty products are different from their values at the initial time and do not always respect the generalized uncertainty relations.

arXiv:1504.00583v2 [math-ph] 28 Jul 2015

CONTENTS

I. Introduction 2

II. The Harmonic oscillator in noncommutative configuration space revisited 3

III. q-deformed Bi-coherent states 5

IV. Generalized Heisenberg's uncertainty relations 7

V. Conclusion 11

VI. Appendix: Details of calculations of the equations in section (IV) 11

References 16

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum harmonic oscillator is one of the potential tools that one needs to handle the quantum world. In standard quantum mechanics, it can be described in terms of the creation and annihilation operators which obey a commutation relation $aa^\dagger - a^\dagger a = 1$. The q- deformations of this algebra were introduced independently by Biedenharn [1] and Macfarlane [2]. Later several definitions and investigations of q-oscillators appeared in the literature, [3],[4], [5], [6], [7], [8],[9],[10]. The algebras satisfied by the canonical variables resulting from q-deformed oscillator algebras have been shown to be related to noncommutative spacetime structures leading to minimal lengths and minimal momenta as a result of a generalized version of Heisenberg'uncertainty relations [11],[12],[13], [14]. The existence of explicit states satisfying these relations and their construction have been investigated in [15]. Using the Gazeau-Klauder coherent states [16], they have shown for a noncommutative harmonic oscillator to first order perturbation theory in the deformation parameter that these states not only satisfy the generalized uncertainty relations, but even saturate them at all times. An extension of this analysis is the study of time-dependent q-deformed coherent states for generalized uncertainty relations [17] in which the properties of generalized time-dependent q-deformed coherent states for a noncommutative harmonic oscillator have been investigated. The states are shown to satisfy a generalized version of the Heisenberg's uncertainty relations and the inequalities are saturated for the initial value in time.

The aim of this paper is to extend the analysis in [17] to higher dimension. In order to do that we revisit the formulation and interpretation of noncommutative quantum mechanics [18], where the formalism has been applied successfully to the case of two dimensional harmonic oscillator that is described by creation and annihilation operators $a_i, a_i^\dagger,\ i = 1, 2$ obeying the commutation relation $a_i a_j^\dagger - a_j a_i^\dagger = \delta_{ij},\ i, j = 1, 2$. Those operators have been useful in constructing displacement operators and gaussian states in the study the classical limits of quantum mechanics on a non-commutative configuration space [19]. In this manuscript we q-deform the algebra that they obey and we obtain a two dimensional q-deformed oscillator algebra and then we define the corresponding q-deformed version of the Fock space from which the q-deformed bi-coherent states are constructed. By bi-coherent states, we refer to the ones defined by S. T. Ali and F. Bagarello [20] which can be considered as multidimensional Gazeau-Klauder coherent states [21].

Our manuscript is organized as follow: in section (II) we revisit the two dimensional harmonic oscillator studied in [18]. In section (III) we define the q-deformed Bi-coherent states. The generalized uncertainties are discussed in (IV) and concluding remarks are given in (V).

II. THE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR IN NONCOMMUTATIVE CONFIGURATION SPACE REVISITED

We shortly review the formalism of noncommutative quantum mechanics, more details being available in [18]. We consider two dimensional noncommutative configuration space, where the coordinates satisfy the commutation relation

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = i\theta\epsilon_{ij}, \tag{1}$$

with θ a real positive parameter and $\epsilon_{i,j}$ the completely antisymmetric tensor with $\epsilon_{1,2} = 1$. Since, the operators

$$b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(\hat{x}_1 + i\hat{x}_2) \ , \quad b^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(\hat{x}_1 - i\hat{x}_2) \tag{2}$$

satisfy the commutation relations $[b, b^\dagger] = 1$, one can introduce a Fock-like vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ such that $b|0\rangle = 0$ and construct a non-commutative configuration space isomorphic to the boson Fock space

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \text{span}\{|n\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(b^\dagger)^n|0\rangle\}_{n=0}^{n=\infty}, \tag{3}$$

where the span is taken over the field of complex numbers.

A proper Hilbert space over such non-commutative configuration space is the Hilbert-Schmidt Banach algebra $\mathcal{H}_q$ of bounded operators $\psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_c)$ on $\mathcal{H}_c$ such that

$$tr_c(\psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)^\dagger \psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)) < \infty \ . \tag{4}$$

The tr_c denotes the trace over non-commutative configuration space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_c)$ the set of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}_c$. This space has a natural inner product and norm

$$(\phi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2), \psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)) = \text{tr}_c(\phi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)^\dagger \psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)). \tag{5}$$

Next we introduce the non-commutative Heisenberg algebra

$$\left[\hat{X}_i, \ \hat{P}_j\right] = i\hbar\delta_{i,j}, \quad \left[\hat{X}_i, \ \hat{X}_j\right] = i\theta\epsilon_{i,j}, \quad \left[\hat{P}_i, \hat{P}_j\right] = 0, \tag{6}$$

where a unitary representation in terms of the operators $\hat{X}_i$ and $\hat{P}_i$ acting on the quantum Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_q$ with the inner product (5) is

$$\hat{X}_i\psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = \hat{x}_i\psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2), \quad \hat{P}_i\psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = \frac{\hbar}{\theta}\epsilon_{i,j}\left[\hat{x}_i, \ \psi(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)\right]. \tag{7}$$

In the above representation, the position acts by left multiplication and the momentum adjointly. Let's consider the Hamiltonian of the two-dimensional non-commutative harmonic oscillator

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2m}\hat{P}_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{X}_i^2\right), \tag{8}$$

where the operators $\hat{X}_i, \hat{P}_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are acting on the quantum Hilbert space (4) and satisfy the commutation relations (6).

One can associate to the position and momentum operators, the operators $A_i,\ A_i^\dagger\ i=1,2$

$$A_1=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1}}\left(-\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_1-i\hat{P}_1-i\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_2+\hat{P}_2\right); \tag{9}$$

$$A_1^\dagger=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1}}\left(-\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_1+i\hat{P}_1+i\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_2+\hat{P}_2\right); \tag{10}$$

$$A_2=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K_2}}\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_1+i\hat{P}_1-i\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_2+\hat{P}_2\right); \tag{11}$$

$$A_2^\dagger=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K_2}}\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_1-i\hat{P}_1+i\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_2+\hat{P}_2\right), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\lambda_1=\frac{1}{2}\left(m\omega\sqrt{4\hbar^2+m^2\omega^2\theta^2}+m^2\omega^2\theta\right);\ K_1=\lambda_1\left(4+\frac{2\lambda_1\theta}{\hbar^2}\right); \tag{13}$$

$$\lambda_2=\frac{1}{2}\left(m\omega\sqrt{4\hbar^2+m^2\omega^2\theta^2}-m^2\omega^2\theta\right);\ K_2=\lambda_2\left(4-\frac{2\lambda_2\theta}{\hbar^2}\right). \tag{14}$$

They satisfy the algebra

$$\left[A_i,\ \hat{A}_j^\dagger\right]=\delta_{ij};\ \left[A_i,\ \hat{A}_j\right]=0,\ i,j=0,1, \tag{15}$$

and the equation

$$A_i\psi_{00}=0,\quad i=1,2;\ \psi_{00}\equiv|0,0\rangle. \tag{16}$$

admit a normalized solution

$$\psi_{00}(\hat{x}_1,\hat{x}_2)=\mathcal{N}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}\exp(\frac{\alpha}{2\theta}(\hat{x}_1,\hat{x}_2)), \tag{17}$$

with $\mathcal{N}^{-1}=\frac{2\lambda_-}{\hbar^2}\left(1-\frac{\theta\lambda_-}{2\hbar^2}\right)$ and $\alpha=\ln(1-\frac{\theta}{\hbar^2}\lambda_-)=-\ln(1+\frac{\theta}{\hbar^2}\lambda_+)$.

The operators $A_i,A_i^\dagger,i=1,2$ can then be interpreted as creation- annihilation operators. The model can be described by

$$\hat{H}=\frac{\lambda_1}{2m}(2A_1^\dagger A_1+1)+\frac{\lambda_2}{2m}(2A_2^\dagger A_2+1), \tag{18}$$

where the creation and annihilation operators $A_i,A_i^\dagger,i=1,2$ span the Fock space as follows

$$A_1|n_1,n_2\rangle=n_1|n_1-1,n_2\rangle;\quad A_1^\dagger|n_1,n_2\rangle=\sqrt{n_1+1}|n_1+1,n_2\rangle; \tag{19}$$

$$A_2|n_1,n_2\rangle=n_2|n_1,n_2-1\rangle;\quad A_2^\dagger|n_1,n_2\rangle=\sqrt{n_2+1}|n_1,n_2+1\rangle, \tag{20}$$

such that

$$A_1^\dagger A_1|n_1,n_2\rangle=n_1|n_1,n_2\rangle;\quad A_2^\dagger A_2|n_1,n_2\rangle=n_2|n_1,n_2\rangle;\quad |n_1,n_2\rangle=\frac{(A_1^\dagger)^{n_1}(A_2^\dagger)^{n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1!n_2!}}\psi_{00}, \tag{21}$$

and

$$H|n_1,n_2\rangle=\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2m}(2n_1+1)+\frac{\lambda_2}{2m}(2n_2+1)\right)|n_1,n_2\rangle. \tag{22}$$

III. Q-DEFORMED BI-COHERENT STATES

Let us first shortly recall the Gazeau-Klauder scheme [16]. It is a method for constructing a real two-parameter set of coherent states $\{|J,\gamma\rangle\}$, $J \geq 0$, and $-\infty < \gamma < +\infty$, associated to physical Hamiltonians H which have discrete non-degenerate spectra. The states have to satisfy the following properties:

1. Continuity: the mapping $(J,\gamma) \to |J,\gamma\rangle$ is continuous in some appropriate topology.
2. Resolution of unity : $\mathbb{I} = \int |J,\gamma\rangle\langle J,\gamma| d\mu(J,\gamma)$.
3. Temporal stability: $e^{-iHt}|J,\gamma\rangle = |J,\gamma+\omega t\rangle$, $\omega =$ constant.
4. Action identity: $\langle J,\gamma|H|J,\gamma\rangle = \omega J$.

The construction of those states works if H has no degenerate eigenstates and, furthermore, if the lowest eigenvalue is exactly zero.

Let's consider a Hamiltonian H with a discrete spectrum which is bounded below and adjusted so that $H \geq 0$, we assume in addition that the eigenstates are non-degenerate. The eigenstates $|n\rangle$ are orthonormal vectors satisfying

$$H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle, \quad n \geq 0, \quad 0 = E_0 < E_1 < E_2 < \cdots, \tag{23}$$

where we set the eigenvalues $E_n = \omega\epsilon_n (= \hbar\omega\epsilon_n), \omega > 0$ and fixed, with $0 = \epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \cdots$ being a sequence of dimensionless real numbers.

The Gazeau-Klauder coherent states are defined as follows

$$|J,\gamma\rangle := \mathcal{N}(J)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{J^{n/2} e^{-i\gamma\epsilon_n}}{\sqrt{\rho_n}} |n\rangle, \tag{24}$$

where $J \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. The numbers ρ_n are positive and are fixed by the requirement of the action identity to be $\rho_n = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \ldots \epsilon_n$. The normalization factor $\mathcal{N}(J)$, which turns out to be only dependent on J, is chosen so that

$$\langle J,\gamma|J,\gamma\rangle = \mathcal{N}(J)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{J^n}{\rho_n} \equiv 1, \quad \text{then} \quad \mathcal{N}(J) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{J^n}{\rho_n}. \tag{25}$$

The domain of allowed J, $0 \leq J < R$, is determined by the radius of convergence R in the series defining $\mathcal{N}(J)$. In the case of the harmonic oscillator, the equation (24) is

$$|J,\gamma\rangle := e^{-\frac{J}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{J^{n/2} e^{-in\gamma}}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle, \tag{26}$$

and setting $z = \sqrt{J} e^{-i\gamma}$ one finds the usual canonical coherent states

$$|z\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle, \tag{27}$$

also called the Glauber-Klauder-Sudarshan (GKS) states.

The multidimensional generalized coherent states have been presented for systems with several degrees of freedom [21] and also in [20] where the bi-coherent states have been defined. The later approach inspires us in construction bi-coherent states for two dimensional noncommutative harmonic oscillator. Since for the construction of the Gazeau-Klauder coherent states the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian should be non-degenerate and the lowest eigenvalue exactly zero, we consider the following operator

$$\tilde{H} = H - ((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/2m) \equiv \frac{\lambda_1}{m} A_1^\dagger A_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{m} A_2^\dagger A_2. \tag{28}$$

The Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ and H have exactly the same dynamical content since they obey the same commutations relations with all the observables of the system. In addition

$$\tilde{H}|n_1,n_2\rangle = (\frac{\lambda_1}{m}n_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{m}n_2)|n_1,n_2\rangle \tag{29}$$

is such that the lowest eigenvalues of $\tilde{H}$ is zero while having common eigenvectors $|n_1,n_2\rangle$ with the Hamiltonian H. Next, we construct the bi-coherent states for the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ as follows

$$|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}(J_1,J_2)}}\sum_{n_1,n_2}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{\frac{n_1}{2}}J_2^{\frac{n_2}{2}}e^{i(\gamma_1 n_1+\gamma_2 n_2)}}{\sqrt{n_1!n_2!}}|n_1,n_2\rangle, \tag{30}$$

where $J_i \in \mathbb{R}_+, \gamma_i \in \mathbb{R},\ i=1,2$ and $\mathcal{N}(J_1,J_2)$ is the normalization factor. As in [20], the bi-coherent states have been shown in to be normalized to unity, to satisfy; the resolution of the identity, the temporal stability condition and the action identity. In the present case of Hamiltonian, the later stand as

$$e^{-i\tilde{H}t}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle = |J_1,\gamma_1+\frac{\lambda_1}{m}t;\ J_2,\gamma_2+\frac{\lambda_2}{m}t\rangle, \quad \langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{H}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle = \frac{\lambda_1}{m}J_1+\frac{\lambda_2}{m}J_2. \tag{31}$$

We q-deform the algebra (15) by defining a new set of creation and annihilation operators $\tilde{A}_i,\ \tilde{A}_i^\dagger,\ i=1,2$ satisfying

$$\tilde{A}_i\tilde{A}_j^\dagger - \left((q^2-1)\delta_{ij}+1\right)\tilde{A}_j^\dagger\tilde{A}_i = \delta_{ij}, \quad 0<q\leq 1; \quad [\tilde{A}_i,\ \tilde{A}_j] = 0\ (i\neq j), \tag{32}$$

The expression (32) is the two-dimensional q-deformed oscillator algebra for the creation and annihilation operators $A_i,\ A_i^\dagger, i=1,2$, this deformation has minor different in the conventions with the ones defined in [1],[2], [3],[4]. The corresponding Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H}_q = \frac{\lambda_1}{m}\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{m}\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2. \tag{33}$$

Next, we define the q-deformed analog of the Fock space involving q-deformed integer $[n]_q$ as

$$|n_1,n_2\rangle_q := \frac{(\tilde{A}_1^\dagger)^{n_1}(\tilde{A}_2^\dagger)^{n_2}}{\sqrt{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}}|0,0\rangle, \tag{34}$$

where

$$[n_i]_q := \frac{1-q^{2n_i}}{1-q^2}, \quad [n_i]_q! := \Pi_{k=1}^{n_i}[k]_q, \quad \tilde{A}_i|0,0\rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0,0|0,0\rangle = 1, \quad i=1,2. \tag{35}$$

It follows that the operators $\tilde{A}_i^\dagger$ and $\tilde{A}_i$, $i=1,2$ act indeed as raising and lowering operators, respectively,

$$\tilde{A}_1^\dagger|n_1,n_2\rangle_q = \sqrt{[n_1+1]_q}\,|n_1+1,n_2\rangle_q; \quad \tilde{A}_2^\dagger|n_1,n_2\rangle_q = \sqrt{[n_2+1]_q}\,|n_1,n_2+1\rangle_q, \tag{36}$$

and

$$\tilde{A}_1|n_1,n_2\rangle_q = \sqrt{[n_1]_q}\,|n_1-1,n_2\rangle_q; \quad \tilde{A}_2|n_1,n_2\rangle_q = \sqrt{[n_2]_q}\,|n_1,n_2-1\rangle_q\,. \tag{37}$$

Using these states, we present the q-deformed bi-coherent states for the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_q$ as follows

$$|J_1,\gamma_1;\ J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E_q(J_1,J_2)}}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{\frac{n_1}{2}}J_2^{\frac{n_2}{2}}\exp -i(\gamma_1[n_1]_q+\gamma_2[n_2]_q)}{\sqrt{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}}|n_1,n_2\rangle_q, \tag{38}$$

where

$$E_q(J_1,J_2) = \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!} = \mathcal{N}^2(J_1,J_2);\ 0\leq J_i < \frac{1}{1-q^2}, i=1,2. \tag{39}$$

IV. GENERALIZED HEISENBERG'S UNCERTAINTY RELATIONS

In order to verify the uncertainty relations, we need first to set the canonical variables corresponding to the algebra (32). It is convenient to use the following setting

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1}}\left(-\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{1,q} - i\hat{P}_{1,q} - i\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{2,q} + \hat{P}_{2,q}\right);\ \tilde{A}_1^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1}}\left(-\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{1,q} + i\hat{P}_{1,q} + i\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{2,q} + \hat{P}_{2,q}\right);$$
$$\tilde{A}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_2}}\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{1,q} + i\hat{P}_{1,q} - i\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{2,q} + \hat{P}_{2,q}\right);\ \tilde{A}_2^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_2}}\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{1,q} - i\hat{P}_{1,q} + i\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{2,q} + \hat{P}_{2,q}\right), \quad (40)$$

where $\hat{X}_{i,q},\ \hat{P}_{i,q},\ i = 1, 2$ are the corresponding canonical variables. Inverting the equations in (40), we have

$$\hat{X}_{1,q} = \frac{-\hbar\sqrt{K_1}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\left(\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\right) + \frac{\hbar\sqrt{K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\left(\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right); \quad (41)$$

$$\hat{X}_{2,q} = \frac{i\hbar\sqrt{K_1}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\left(\tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\right) + \frac{i\hbar\sqrt{K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\left(\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right); \quad (42)$$

$$\hat{P}_{1,q} = \frac{i\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\left(\tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\right) - \frac{i\lambda_1\sqrt{K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\left(\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right); \quad (43)$$

$$\hat{P}_{2,q} = \frac{\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\left(\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\right) + \frac{\lambda_1\sqrt{K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\left(\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right), \quad (44)$$

and they satisfy the deformed canonical commutation relations

$$\left[\hat{X}_{1,q},\ \hat{X}_{2,q}\right] = i\theta + (1-q^2)\left(\frac{i\hbar^2}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\right)\left(K_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 - K_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1\right); \quad (45)$$

$$\left[\hat{X}_{1,q},\ \hat{P}_{1,q}\right] = i\hbar - (1-q^2)\left(\frac{i\hbar}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\right)\left(\lambda_1 K_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 + \lambda_2 K_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1\right); \quad (46)$$

$$\left[\hat{X}_{2,q},\ \hat{P}_{2,q}\right] = i\hbar - (1-q^2)\left(\frac{i\hbar}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\right)\left(\lambda_1 K_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 + \lambda_2 K_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1\right); \quad (47)$$

$$\left[\hat{P}_{1,q},\ \hat{P}_{2,q}\right] = i(1-q^2)\left(\frac{1}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\right)\left(\lambda_1^2 K_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 - \lambda_2^2 K_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1\right); \quad (48)$$

$$\left[\hat{X}_{1,q},\ \hat{P}_{2,q}\right] = 0; \quad (49)$$

$$\left[\hat{X}_{2,q},\ \hat{P}_{1,q}\right] = 0. \quad (50)$$

Next we use the expressions in equation (40) and evaluate

$$K_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\right)^2\hat{X}_{1,q}^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\right)^2\hat{X}_{2,q}^2 + \hat{P}_{1,q}^2 + \hat{P}_{2,q}^2 - \frac{2\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{1,q}\hat{P}_{2,q} + \frac{2\lambda_1}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{2,q}\hat{P}_{1,q}$$
$$+ i\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}\right)^2[\hat{X}_{1,q}, \hat{X}_{2,q}] + i\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}[\hat{X}_{1,q}, \hat{P}_{1,q}] + i\frac{\lambda_1}{\hbar}[\hat{X}_{2,q}, \hat{P}_{2,q}] + i[\hat{P}_{1,q}, \hat{P}_{2,q}]; \quad (51)$$

$$K_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\right)^2\hat{X}_{1,q}^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\right)^2\hat{X}_{2,q}^2 + \hat{P}_{1,q}^2 + \hat{P}_{2,q}^2 + \frac{2\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{1,q}\hat{P}_{2,q} - \frac{2\lambda_2}{\hbar}\hat{X}_{2,q}\hat{P}_{1,q}$$
$$- i\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}\right)^2[\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{X}_{2,q}] + i\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}[\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{1,q}] + i\frac{\lambda_2}{\hbar}[\hat{X}_{2,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}] - i[\hat{P}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}]. \tag{52}$$

Substituting (51) and (52) into the right-hand side of (45)-(48) we obtain four equations for the four unknown commutators $[\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{X}_{2,q}]$, $[\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{1,q}]$, $[\hat{X}_{2,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}]$, $[\hat{P}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}]$. Solving these equations, we obtain the following noncommutative relations:

$$\left[\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{X}_{2,q}\right] = \frac{2i\theta}{1+q^2} + i\frac{1-q^2}{1+q^2}\left(\frac{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{X}_{1,q}^2 + \frac{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{X}_{2,q}^2\right.$$
$$\left. + 2\hbar\frac{\big((\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^3 - (1-q^2)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2-1)\lambda_1\lambda_2\big)}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^4}(\hat{X}_{1,q}\hat{P}_{2,q} - \hat{X}_{2,q}\hat{P}_{1,q})\right); \tag{53}$$

$$\left[\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{1,q}\right] = \frac{2i\hbar}{1+q^2} - i\frac{1-q^2}{1+q^2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{X}_{1,q}^2 + \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{X}_{2,q}^2\right.$$
$$+ \frac{2\hbar}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{P}_{1,q}^2 + \frac{2\hbar}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{P}_{2,q}^2$$
$$\left. - 2\frac{(1-q^2)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2-1)\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^4}(\hat{X}_{1,q}\hat{P}_{2,q} - \hat{X}_{2,q}\hat{P}_{1,q})\right); \tag{54}$$

$$\left[\hat{X}_{2,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}\right] = \frac{2i\hbar}{1+q^2} - i\frac{1-q^2}{1+q^2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{X}_{1,q}^2 + \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{X}_{2,q}^2\right.$$
$$+ \frac{2\hbar}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{P}_{1,q}^2 + \frac{2\hbar}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{P}_{2,q}^2$$
$$\left. - 2\frac{(1-q^2)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2-1)\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^4}(\hat{X}_{1,q}\hat{P}_{2,q} - \hat{X}_{2,q}\hat{P}_{1,q})\right); \tag{55}$$

$$\left[\hat{P}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}\right] = i\frac{1-q^2}{1+q^2}\left(\frac{(\hbar-1)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\hbar^2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\hat{X}_{1,q}^2 + \frac{(\hbar-1)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\hbar^2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\hat{X}_{2,q}^2\right.$$
$$+ \frac{2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{P}_{1,q}^2 + \frac{2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\hat{P}_{2,q}^2$$
$$+ \lambda_1\lambda_2\left[\frac{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^3 - (1+q^2)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2-1)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}{\hbar(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^4}\right.$$
$$\left.\left. - \frac{2(1-q^2)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2-1)(\lambda_1^2+\lambda_2^2-\lambda_1\lambda_2))}{\hbar(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^4}\right](\hat{X}_{1,q}\hat{P}_{2,q} - \hat{X}_{2,q}\hat{P}_{1,q})\right). \tag{56}$$

The commutators $\left[\hat{X}_{2,q},\ \hat{P}_{1,q}\right]$ and $\left[\hat{X}_{1,q},\ \hat{P}_{2,q}\right]$ remain zero. At the limit $q \to 1$, we recover the algebras in equations (6) and (15). Note that if $\theta = 0$ we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \hbar m\omega$ and $K_1 = K_2 = 4\hbar m\omega$.

The commutation relations in equations (53), (54), (55) and (56) are dynamical since they are expressed in terms of the dynamical variables that are $\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{X}_{2,q},\hat{P}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}$, by dynamical variables we mean observables. This is a consequence of the q-deformation since at the limit $\theta \to 0$, they remain dynamical. The generalized Heisenberg uncertainty relation for a simultaneous measurement of two observables $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$, where

$\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \{\hat{X}_{i,q}, \hat{P}_{i,q},\ i = 1, 2\}$, projected onto the normalized coherent states $|J_1, \gamma_1; J_2, \gamma_2\rangle_q$ defined in equation (38)

$$\Delta\mathcal{O}_1 \Delta\mathcal{O}_2|_{|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q} \geq \frac{1}{2}|\left({}_q\langle J_1, \gamma_1; J_2, \gamma_2| \left[\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2\right] |J_1, \gamma_1; J_2, \gamma_2\rangle_q\right)|, \tag{57}$$

where the uncertainty for $\mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{O} \in \{\hat{X}_{i,q},\ \hat{P}_{i,q},\ i = 1, 2\}$ is computed as

$$\Delta\mathcal{O}^2 = {}_q\langle J_1, \gamma_1; J_2, \gamma_2|\mathcal{O}^2|J_1, \gamma_1; J_2, \gamma_2\rangle_q - \left({}_q\langle J_1, \gamma_1; J_2, \gamma_2|\mathcal{O}|J_1, \gamma_1; J_2, \gamma_2\rangle_q\right)^2. \tag{58}$$

We start by evaluating the left-hand side of equations (57), where the details of the calculations are given in the Appendix in section VI.

$$\Delta\hat{X}^2_{1,q}\Delta\hat{X}^2_{2,q} = \chi_1(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2)\ \chi_2(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2); \tag{59}$$

$$\Delta\hat{X}^2_{1,q}\Delta\hat{P}^2_{1,q} = \chi_1(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2)\ \kappa_1(J_1, J_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2); \tag{60}$$

$$\Delta\hat{X}^2_{2,q}\Delta\hat{P}^2_{2,q} = \chi^2(J_1, J_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)\ \kappa_2(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2); \tag{61}$$

$$\Delta\hat{P}^2_{1,q}\Delta\hat{P}^2_{2,q} = \kappa_1(J_1, J_1; \gamma_1, \gamma_2)\ \kappa_2(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2), \tag{62}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2) := \Delta\hat{X}^2_{1,q} = &\frac{\hbar^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1 + (1+q^2)J_1 + G_q(\gamma_1) - G_c^2(\gamma_1)\right)\\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1 + (1+q^2)J_2 + G_q(\gamma_2) - G_c^2(\gamma_2)\right)\\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(-G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) - G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) + G_c(\gamma_1)G_c(\gamma_2)\right);\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_2(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2) := \Delta\hat{X}^2_{2,q} = &\frac{\hbar^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1 + (1+q^2)J_1 - G_q(\gamma_1) + G_s^2(\gamma_1)\right)\\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1 + (1+q^2)J_2 - G_q(\gamma_2) + G_s^2(\gamma_2)\right)\\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(-G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) + G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) + G_s(\gamma_1)G_s(\gamma_2)\right);\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2) := \Delta\hat{P}^2_{1,q} = &\frac{\lambda_2^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1 + (1+q^2)J_1 - G_q(\gamma_1) + G_s^2(\gamma_1)\right)\\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1 + (1+q^2)J_2 - G_q(\gamma_2) + G_s^2(\gamma_2)\right)\\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) - G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) - G_s(\gamma_1)G_s(\gamma_2)\right);\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2(J_1, J_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2) := \Delta\hat{P}^2_{2,q} = &\frac{\lambda_2^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1 + (1+q^2)J_1 + G_q(\gamma_1) - G_c^2(\gamma_1)\right)\\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1 + (1+q^2)J_2 + G_q(\gamma_2) - G_c^2(\gamma_2)\right)\\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) + G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) - G_c(\gamma_1)G_c(\gamma_2)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Using the commutation relations (45), (46), (47), (48) and the equations (86) and (90) in the Appendix (see in VI), we evaluate the the corresponding expressions in the right-hand side of equation (57) as follows

$$\frac{1}{2}|\left({}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\left[\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{X}_{2,q}\right]|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q\right)|=\frac{\hbar^2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}|K_1\left(1-(1-q^2)J_1\right)-K_2\left(1-(1-q^2)J_2\right)|; \tag{67}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|\left({}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\left[\hat{X}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{1,q}\right]|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q\right)|=\frac{\hbar}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}|\lambda_2K_1\left(1-(1-q^2)J_1\right)+\lambda_1K_2\left(1-(1-q^2)J_2\right)|; \tag{68}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|\left({}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\left[\hat{X}_{2,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}\right]|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q\right)|=\frac{\hbar}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}|\lambda_2K_1\left(1-(1-q^2)J_1\right)+\lambda_1K_2\left(1-(1-q^2)J_2\right)|; \tag{69}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|\left({}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\left[\hat{P}_{1,q},\hat{P}_{2,q}\right]|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q\right)|=\frac{1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}|\lambda_2^2K_1(1-(1-q^2)J_1)-\lambda_1^2K_2(1-(1-q^2)J_2)|. \tag{70}$$

A first remark is that the right hand side of the generalized Heisenberg's inequality (57), that are respectively the equations (67),(68), (69), (70), are constant value independent of $\gamma_i,\ i=1,2$. So noting the following limits $\lim_{\gamma_1\to 0}G_c(\gamma_1)=2\sqrt{J_1}$, $\lim_{\gamma_1\to 0}G_s(\gamma_1)=0$, $\lim_{\gamma_1\to 0}G_q(\gamma_1)=2J_1$, $\lim_{\gamma_2\to 0}G_c(\gamma_2)=2\sqrt{J_2}$, $\lim_{\gamma_2\to 0}G_s(\gamma_2)=0$, $\lim_{\gamma_2\to 0}G_q(\gamma_2)=2J_2$ and $\lim_{\gamma_1\to 0,\gamma_2\to 0}G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=2\sqrt{J_1J_2}$, $\lim_{\gamma_1\to 0,\gamma_2\to 0}G_s^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=0$, $\lim_{\gamma_1\to 0,\gamma_2\to 0}G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=2\sqrt{J_1J_2}$, $\lim_{\gamma_1\to 0,\gamma_2\to 0}G_s^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=0$, we see that when $\gamma_1=\gamma_2=0$, the equations (59),(60) (61), (62) are respectively the following

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\hat{X}_{1,q}^2\Delta\hat{X}_{2,q}^2=&\frac{\hbar^2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(K_1(1-(1-q^2)J_1)+K_2(1-(1-q^2)J_2)\right)\\&\times\frac{\hbar^2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(K_1(1-(1-q^2)J_1)+K_2(1-(1-q^2)J_2)\right);\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\hat{X}_{1,q}^2\Delta\hat{P}_{1,q}^2=&\left(\frac{\hbar}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\right)^2\left[\lambda_2K_1(1-(1-q^2)J_1)+\lambda_1K_2(1-(1-q^2)J_2)\right]^2\\&+\left(\frac{\hbar(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\right)^2K_1K_2\left(1-(1-q^2)J_1\right)\left(1-(1-q^2)J_2\right));\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\hat{X}_{2,q}^2\Delta\hat{P}_{2,q}^2=&\left(\frac{\hbar}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\right)^2\left[\lambda_2K_1(1-(1-q^2)J_1)+\lambda_1K_2(1-(1-q^2)J_2)\right]^2\\&+\left(\frac{\hbar(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\right)^2K_1K_2\left(1-(1-q^2)J_1\right)\left(1-(1-q^2)J_2\right));\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\hat{P}_{1,q}^2\Delta\hat{P}_{2,q}^2=&\frac{1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\lambda_2^2K_1(1-(1-q^2)J_1)+\lambda_1^2K_2(1-(1-q^2)J_2)\right)\\&\times\frac{1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\lambda_2^2K_1(1-(1-q^2)J_1)+\lambda_1^2K_2(1-(1-q^2)J_2)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Let us analyse these results. For $\gamma_i=0,i=1,2$, the inequality (57) is always respected. When in addition the parameter of noncommutativity $\theta=0$, where $\lambda_1=\lambda_2=\hbar m\omega$ and $K_1=K_2=4\hbar m\omega$, the expressions (72) and (73) become respectively the square of the expressions (68) and (69) such that the inequality is saturated for a simultaneous measurement of the position -momentum observables. Let us discuss now the

general case where $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_2 \neq 0$. In that case, comparing respectively the equations (59 -62) and (67-70), we require some conditions, that are the expressions (117), (118), (119) and (120) in the Appendix, in order for the inequality (57) to be satisfied. Reducing the inequalities (117-118) we require the conditions: $4J_i - G_c^2(\gamma_i) + G_s^2(\gamma_i) \geq 0,\ i = 1, 2$ and $2G_c^+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - (G_c(\gamma_1)G_c(\gamma_2) + G_s(\gamma_1)G_s(\gamma_2)) \geq 0$. Assuming that $\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 1 \geq 0$ that means $\hbar^2 m^2\omega^2 - 1 \geq 0$ and noting the range of the functions $G_c(\gamma_i), G_s(\gamma_i),\ i = 1, 2$; and $G_c^+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ are respectively $-2\sqrt{J_i} \leq G_c(\gamma_i) \leq 2\sqrt{J_i},\ -2i\sqrt{J_i} \leq G_s(\gamma_i) \leq 2i\sqrt{J_i},\ i = 1, 2$ and $-2\sqrt{J_1J_2} \leq G_c^+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq 2\sqrt{J_1J_2}$, the uncertainty relations (57) are not always satisfied.

V. CONCLUSION

The analysis in [17] has been extended to the case of the two dimensional non-commutative harmonic oscillator where we constructed time dependent q-deformed bi-coherent states. Since q-deformed oscillator algebras have been shown to be related to noncommutative space-time structures, the presence of θ may be considered unnecessary. As we have shown, for $\gamma_i = 0,\ i = 1, 2$ and setting $\theta = 0$ occurs the saturation of the inequality for a simultaneous measurement of the position and momentum observables and that is not valid in the presence of the parameter of noncommutativity θ. For the general case, where $\gamma_i \neq 0,\ i = 1, 2$, we found that the generalized uncertainty relations (57) are not always respected. That is not the case in [17]. An extension of this work would be to study the revival time structures, it may also occur minimal lengths and minimal momenta from the commutation relations (53 - 56) to be computed. The fermionic coherent states have been recently discussed in [22] and previously the q-deformed fermions have also been studied [23], [24]. An interesting extension of this work would be to consider q-deformed fermionic operators for coherent states and compare with the bosonic case.

VI. APPENDIX: DETAILS OF CALCULATIONS OF THE EQUATIONS IN SECTION (IV)

Let's compute the square of the canonical operators since they appears in the calculations of the uncertainties

$$\hat{X}_{1,q}^2 = \frac{\hbar^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\right) + \frac{\hbar^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger + \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right)$$
$$- \frac{\hbar^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2^\dagger + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right); \tag{75}$$

$$\hat{X}_{2,q}^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger - \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\right) - \frac{\hbar^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger + \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right)$$
$$- \frac{\hbar^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2^\dagger - \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right); \tag{76}$$

$$\hat{P}_{1,q}^2 = -\frac{\lambda_2^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\right) - \frac{\lambda_1^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger + \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right)$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2^\dagger - \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right); \tag{77}$$

$$\hat{P}^2_{2,q} = \frac{\lambda_2^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\right) + \frac{\lambda_1^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger + \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right)$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2^\dagger + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\right). \tag{78}$$

In order to verify the inequality (57) for the states (38), we compute first the expectation values for the creation and annihilation operators $A_i,\ A_i^\dagger, i = 1, 2$

$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1); \tag{79}$$

$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_2|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_2); \tag{80}$$

$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1^\dagger|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1); \tag{81}$$

$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_2^\dagger|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_2), \tag{82}$$

where we introduced the function

$$F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1) := \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}e^{i\gamma_1 q^{2[n_1]_q}}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}; \quad F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_2) := \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}e^{i\gamma_2 q^{2[n_2]_q}}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!} \tag{83}$$

In order to compute the expectation values for $\mathcal{O}_i^2$, $\mathcal{O}\in\{\hat{X}_i,\hat{P}_i,\ i=1,2\}$, we use the their expressions as in the appendix. We evaluate then

$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{J_1}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_1) \tag{84}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1^\dagger|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{J_1}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_1) \tag{85}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_1|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = J_1 \tag{86}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_1^\dagger|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = 1+q^2J_1. \tag{87}$$

$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{J_2}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_2) \tag{88}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{J_2}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_2) \tag{89}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_2|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = J_2 \tag{90}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_2^\dagger|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = 1+q^2J_2. \tag{91}$$

$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{(J_1J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,-\gamma_2) \tag{92}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2^\dagger|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{(J_1J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,\gamma_2) \tag{93}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_2^\dagger\tilde{A}_1|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{(J_1J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,\gamma_2) \tag{94}$$
$${}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2|\tilde{A}_1^\dagger\tilde{A}_2|J_1,\gamma_1; J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = \frac{(J_1J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,-\gamma_2) \tag{95}$$

where

$$F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,\gamma_2) := \sum_{n_1,n_2} \frac{J_1^{n_1} J_2^{n_2} e^{i\gamma_1 q^{2[n_1]_q} + i\gamma_2 q^{2[n_2]_q}}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}. \tag{96}$$

The expectations values are the following

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\hat{X}_{1,q}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q &= -\frac{\hbar\sqrt{K_1}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1)+F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1)\right) \\ &+ \frac{\hbar\sqrt{K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_2)\right); \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\hat{X}_{2,q}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q &= \frac{i\hbar\sqrt{K_1}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1)-F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1)\right) \\ &+ \frac{i\hbar\sqrt{K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_2)-F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_2)\right); \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\hat{P}_{1,q}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q &= \frac{i\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1)-F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1)\right) \\ &- \frac{i\lambda_1\sqrt{K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_2)-F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_2)\right); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\hat{P}_{2,q}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q &= \frac{\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1)-F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1)\right) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1\sqrt{K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_2)-F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_2)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\hat{X}_{1,q}^2|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q &= \frac{\hbar^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\Big(1+(1+q^2)J_1 \\ &+ \frac{J_1}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_1)+F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_1)\right)\Big) \\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\Big(1+(1+q^2)J_2 \\ &+ \frac{J_2}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_2)\right)\Big) \\ &- \frac{\hbar^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\frac{(J_1J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,-\gamma_2)\right. \\ &+ \left.F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,-\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\hat{X}^2_{2,q}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = &-\frac{\hbar^2K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(-1-(1+q^2)J_1\right.\\
&+\left.\frac{J_1}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_1)+F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_1)\right)\right)\\
&-\frac{\hbar^2K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(-1-(1+q^2)J_2\right.\\
&+\left.\frac{J_2}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_2)\right)\right)\\
&-\frac{\hbar^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\frac{(J_1J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,-\gamma_2)\right.\\
&+\left.F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,\gamma_2)-F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,-\gamma_2)-F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{101}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\hat{P}^2_{1,q}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = &-\frac{\lambda_2^2K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(-1-(1+q^2)J_1\right.\\
&+\left.\frac{J_1}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_1)+F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_1)\right)\right)\\
&-\frac{\lambda_1^2K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(-1-(1+q^2)J_2\right.\\
&+\left.\frac{J_2}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_2)\right)\right)\\
&+\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\frac{(J_1J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,-\gamma_2)\right.\\
&+\left.F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,\gamma_2)-F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,-\gamma_2)-F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{102}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_q\langle J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2|\hat{P}^2_{2,q}|J_1,\gamma_1;J_2,\gamma_2\rangle_q = &\frac{\lambda_2^2K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_1\right.\\
&+\left.\frac{J_1}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_1)+F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_1)\right)\right)\\
&+\frac{\lambda_1^2K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_2\right.\\
&+\left.\frac{J_2}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-(1+q^2)\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,(1+q^2)\gamma_2)\right)\right)\\
&+\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\frac{(J_1J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,-\gamma_2)\right.\\
&+\left.F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1,-\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{103}
$$

Let's introduce the following functions in order to simplyfier and shorten the expressions

$$
G_c(\gamma_1)=\frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1)+F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1)\right)=\frac{2\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}\cos(\gamma_1q^{2[n_1]_q});
\tag{104}
$$

$$
G_c(\gamma_2)=\frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_2)+F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_2)\right)=\frac{2\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}\cos(\gamma_2q^{2[n_2]_q});
\tag{105}
$$

$$G_s(\gamma_1)=\frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_1)-F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_1)\right)=\frac{2i\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}\sin(\gamma_1 q^{2[n_1]_q}); \quad (106)$$

$$G_s(\gamma_2)=\frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\left(F_q(J_1,J_2,\gamma_2)-F_q(J_1,J_2,-\gamma_2)\right)=\frac{2i\sqrt{J_1}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}\sin(\gamma_2 q^{2[n_2]_q}), \quad (107)$$

and

$$G_q(\gamma_1)=\sqrt{J_1}G_c((1+q^2)\gamma_1); \quad G_q(\gamma_2)=\sqrt{J_2}G_c((1+q^2)\gamma_2). \quad (108)$$

Moreover

$$G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=\frac{2\sqrt{J_1J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}\cos(\gamma_1 q^{2[n_1]_q}+\gamma_2 q^{2[n_2]_q})\,; \quad (109)$$

$$G_s^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=\frac{2i\sqrt{J_1J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}\sin(\gamma_1 q^{2[n_1]_q}+\gamma_2 q^{2[n_2]_q})\,; \quad (110)$$

$$G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=\frac{2\sqrt{J_1J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}\cos(\gamma_1 q^{2[n_1]_q}-\gamma_2 q^{2[n_2]_q})\,; \quad (111)$$

$$G_s^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=\frac{2i\sqrt{J_1J_2}}{E_q(J_1,J_2)}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{J_1^{n_1}J_2^{n_2}}{[n_1]_q![n_2]_q!}\sin(\gamma_1 q^{2[n_1]_q}-\gamma_2 q^{2[n_2]_q}). \quad (112)$$

With respect to equation (58), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\hat{X}_{1,q}^2=&\frac{\hbar^2K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_1+G_q(\gamma_1)-G_c^2(\gamma_1)\right)\\&+\frac{\hbar^2K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_2+G_q(\gamma_2)-G_c^2(\gamma_2)\right)\\&+\frac{\hbar^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(-G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)-G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)+G_c(\gamma_1)G_c(\gamma_2)\right); \quad (113)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\hat{X}_{2,q}^2=&\frac{\hbar^2K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_1-G_q(\gamma_1)+G_s^2(\gamma_1)\right)\\&+\frac{\hbar^2K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_2-G_q(\gamma_2)+G_s^2(\gamma_2)\right)\\&+\frac{\hbar^2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(-G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)+G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)+G_s(\gamma_1)G_s(\gamma_2)\right); \quad (114)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\hat{P}_{1,q}^2=&\frac{\lambda_2^2K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_1-G_q(\gamma_1)+G_s^2(\gamma_1)\right)\\&+\frac{\lambda_1^2K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_2-G_q(\gamma_2)+G_s^2(\gamma_2)\right)\\&+\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)-G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)-G_s(\gamma_1)G_s(\gamma_2)\right); \quad (115)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta\hat{P}^2_{2,q} = \frac{\lambda_2^2 K_1}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_1+G_q(\gamma_1)-G_c^2(\gamma_1)\right) + \frac{\lambda_1^2 K_2}{4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(1+(1+q^2)J_2+G_q(\gamma_2)-G_c^2(\gamma_2)\right) + \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}\left(G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)+G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)-G_c(\gamma_1)G_c(\gamma_2)\right). \quad (116)$$

In the general case, means $\gamma_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2$, in order for the equation (57) to be satisfied for all simultaneous measurement, the following inequalities should hold.

$$\left(K_1\left[2J_1+G_q(\gamma_1)\right]+K_2\left[2J_2+G_q(\gamma_2)\right]-2\sqrt{K_1K_2}\left[G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)+G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\right] - \left[\sqrt{K_1}G_c(\gamma_1)-\sqrt{K_2}G_c(\gamma_2)\right]^2\right) \geq 0; \quad (117)$$

$$\left(K_1\left[2J_1-G_q(\gamma_1)\right]+K_2\left[2J_2-G_q(\gamma_2)\right]-2\sqrt{K_1K_2}\left[G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)-G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\right] + \left[\sqrt{K_1}G_s(\gamma_1)+\sqrt{K_2}G_s(\gamma_2)\right]^2\right) \geq 0 \quad (118)$$

$$\left(\lambda_2^2 K_1\left[2J_1-G_q(\gamma_1)\right]+\lambda_1^2 K_2\left[2J_2-G_q(\gamma_2)\right]+2\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}\left[G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)-G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\right] + \left[\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1}G_s(\gamma_1)-\lambda_1\sqrt{K_2}G_s(\gamma_2)\right]^2\right) \geq 0 \quad (119)$$

$$\left(\lambda_2^2 K_1\left[2J_1+G_q(\gamma_1)\right]+\lambda_1^2 K_2\left[2J_2+G_q(\gamma_2)\right]+2\lambda_1\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1K_2}\left[G_c^+(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)+G_c^-(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\right] - \left[\lambda_2\sqrt{K_1}G_s(\gamma_1)+\lambda_1\sqrt{K_2}G_s(\gamma_2)\right]^2\right) \geq 0 \quad (120)$$

Acknowledgments: L. Gouba is supported by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP).

[1] L. C. Biedenharn, *The quantum group $SU(2)_q$ and a q-analogue of the boson operators*, J. Phys. A**22**, L 873(1989).
[2] A. J. Macfarlane, *On q-analogues of the quantum harmonic oscillator and the quantum group $SU(2)_q$*, J. Phys. A **22**, 4581-4588 (1989).
[3] C. P. Sun and H. C. Fu, *The q-deformed boson realisation of the quantum group $SU(n)_q$ and its representation*, J. Phys. A **22** L983-L986(1989).
[4] P. Kulish and E. Damaskinsky, *On the q oscillator and the quantum algebra $SU(q)(1,1)$*, J. Phys. A**23**, L415-L419 (1990).
[5] M. A. Martin-Delgado, *A physical interpretation of the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(SU(2))$*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **24**(1991) L807-L813.
[6] M. A. Martin-Delgado, *Planck distribution for a q-boson gas*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **24** (1991) L1285-L1291.
[7] V. Maximov and A. Odzijewicz, *The qdeformation of quantum mechanics of one degree of freedom*, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 1681 (1995).
[8] A. Odzijewicz, *Quantum Algebras and q-Special Functions Related to Coherent States Maps of the Disc*, Commun. Math. Phys. **192** 183-215 1998.

[9] C. Quesne, *New q-deformed coherent states with an explicitly known resolution of unity*, 2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** 9213.

[10] M. N. Hounkonnou and E. B. Ngompe Nkouankam, *New $(p, q; \mu, \nu, f)$-deformed states*, 2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40** 12113.

[11] B. Bagchi and A. Fring, *Minimal length in Quantum Mechanics and non-Hermitian Hamiltonian systems*, Phys. Lett. A**373**, 4307-4310 (2009).

[12] A. Fring, L. Gouba, and F. G. Scholtz, *Strings from dynamical noncommutative space-time*, J. Phys. A**43**(10), 345401 (2010).

[13] A. Fring, L. Gouba, and B. Bagchi, *Minimal areas from q-deformed oscillator algebras*, J. Phys A**43** 425202 (2010).

[14] Sanjib Dey, Andreas Fring and Laure Gouba, *PT-symmetric noncommutative spaces with minimal volume uncertainty relations*, Journal-ref: J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** (2012) 385302.

[15] S. Dey and A. Fring, *Squeezed coherent states for noncommutative spaces with minimal length uncertainty relations*, J. Phys. Rev D **86**, 064038(2012).

[16] J. P. Gazeau and J. R. Klauder, *Coherent states for systems with discrete and continuous spectrum*, J. Phys. A **32**, 123-132 (1999).

[17] Sanjib Dey, Andreas Fring, Laure Gouba and Paulo G. Castro, *Time-dependent q-deformed coherent states for generalized uncertainty relations*, Physical Review D **87**, 084033 (2013).

[18] F. G. Scholtz, L. Gouba, A. Hafver, C. M. Rohwer, *Formulation, Interpretation and Applications of non-commutative quantum mechanics*, J. Phys A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009) 175303.

[19] Fabio Benatti and Laure Gouba, *Classical limits of quantum mechanics on a non-commutative configuration space*, J. Math. Phys. **54**, 063508 (2013).

[20] S. T. Ali and F. Bagarello, *Some physical appearances of vector coherent states and coherent states related to degenerate Hamiltonians* J. Math. Phys. **46** 053518 (2005).

[21] M. Novaes and J. P. Gazeau, *Multidimensional generalized coherent states*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 199-212.

[22] Monique Combescure and Didier Robert, *Fermonic Coherent States*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** (2012) 244005 (27pp).

[23] P. Narayana Swamy, *q-deformed fermions*, Eur. Phys. J. B **50**, 291-294 (2006).

[24] K. S. Viswanathan, Raghavachariar Parthasarathy, Ramaswamy Jagannathan, *Generalized q-fermion oscillators and q-coherent states*, 1992 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **25** L335.